IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI CENTRAL DIVISION

ANN ELAINE HAGAR)	
Plaintiff,)	
,)	
v.)	Case No. 07-4125-CV-C-NKL
)	
PHOENIX PROGRAM, INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER

Pending before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File *In Forma Pauperis* [Doc. # 1]. For the following reasons, Plaintiff's motion is denied.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a), this Court may authorize commencement of a suit without prepayment of fees. The statute specifically provides that a court "may authorize the commencement . . . of any suit . . . without prepayment of fees or security therefor, by a person who submits an affidavit . . . that the person is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The district court follows a two-step process in considering whether the applicant should be permitted to proceed *in forma pauperis*. First, the Court must determine whether the applicant qualifies by economic status under § 1915(a). *Martin-Trigona v. Stewart*, 691 F.2d 856, 857 (8th Cir. 1982). Second, the Court must determine whether the cause of action stated in the complaint is frivolous or malicious. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); *see also Martin-Trigona*, 691 F.2d at 857.

The opportunity to proceed in forma pauperis is a privilege rather than a right, and

should not be used to abuse the court's process. Williams v. McKenzie, 834 F.2d 152, 154

(8th Cir. 1987). Determining whether an applicant is sufficiently impoverished to qualify

under § 1915 rests within the sound discretion of the trial court. Cross v. General Motors

Corp., 721 F.2d 1152, 1157 (8th Cir. 1983), cert. denied, 466 U.S. 980 (1984). A

showing of poverty is sufficient if the applicant would be forced to give up the basic

necessities of life if required to pay the costs of the lawsuit. Adkins v. E.I. DuPont de

Nemours & Co., 335 U.S. 331, 339 (1948); see also Local Rule 83.7.

Plaintiff has provided the Court with a document titled "Affidavit of Financial

Status," which details her financial resources. Plaintiff, however, failed to have this

document notarized. The Court may not consider the information contained in a

document that is not notarized. Therefore, the Court is unable to find that she satisfies

Section 1915's poverty requirement and her Motion for Leave to File *In Forma Pauperis*

is denied.

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File *In Forma Pauperis* [Doc. # 1]

is DENIED.

s/ Nanette K. Laughrey

NANETTE K. LAUGHREY

United States District Judge

Dated: July 31, 2007

Jefferson City, Missouri

2